



# Metacognition and L2 Writing: Pedagogical Implications

Dr. Souheila Hassib Shehayeb\*

Lebanese University, Lebanon.

## \*Corresponding Author

Dr. Souheila Hassib Shehayeb

Lebanese University, Lebanon.

## Article History

Received: 01.09.2025

Accepted: 10.11.2025

Published: 18.12.2025

**Abstract:** Metacognition is central to L2 writing instruction as it enhances learners' cognitive control, writing quality, and autonomy. This article examines metacognitive writing strategies planning, monitoring, and evaluating and their impact on learning transfer and writing performance. It emphasizes the importance of integrating metacognitive instruction into writing classrooms.

**Keywords:** Metacognition; writing performance.

## Cite this article:

Shehayeb, S. H., (2025). Metacognition and L2 Writing: Pedagogical Implications. *ISAR Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 3(12), 62-64.

## 1. Introduction

Metacognition has attracted increasing attention in educational research because of its significant role in enhancing learning effectiveness and academic achievement. In the field of second language (L2) writing, metacognitive awareness enables learners to plan their work, monitor their progress, and critically evaluate their written output. As contemporary education moves from teacher-centered to learner-centered approaches, understanding how learners think about and manage their own learning has become a central concern. Within this context, metacognition has emerged as a key construct that explains learners' ability to reflect on, regulate, and take control of their cognitive processes. This article offers an overview of metacognition and its pedagogical implications, with particular emphasis on metacognitive writing strategies and their influence on L2 writing quality, learning transfer, and learner autonomy.

## 2. Metacognition: An Overview

The term *metacognition* was first introduced by Flavell (1979), who defined it as an individual's ability to monitor and regulate their own learning processes. It refers to the awareness and control of one's cognitive activities (Brannigan & Donaldson, 2019) and was later applied to language learning by Wenden (1991). Metacognition is a teachable skill that has increasingly attracted scholarly interest (Berne, 2004).

Promoting metacognition in language classrooms has significant pedagogical value. Abbas (2017) argued that metacognition enables learners to monitor their cognitive processes and identify

areas of weakness. Similarly, research has shown that integrating metacognition into instruction enhances comprehension and deepens understanding of learning materials (Pintrich, 2002; Alhamdawee & Abbas, 2021). As learners become more aware of their thinking, they shift from passive recipients of knowledge to active, independent learners capable of problem-solving across contexts (Cubukcu, 2009).

Numerous scholars (Flavell, 1976; Glaser, 1990; Veenman & Beishuizen, 2004) have emphasized the importance of metacognitive strategies in educational programs, noting their role in fostering a growth mindset and lifelong learning (Wischgoll, 2016; Papaleontiou-Louca, 2008). Metacognitively aware learners are better equipped to regulate their learning, recognize complex concepts, and select appropriate learning strategies (Tosuncuoglu & Kirmizi, 2019; Stanton, Sebesta & Dunlosky, 2021). Consequently, educators are encouraged to embed metacognitive instruction within curricula to cultivate reflective and self-directed learners.

## 3. Significance of Metacognitive Writing Strategies

Writing strategies are deliberate actions employed by student writers to complete writing tasks and improve the quality of their written products (Cohen, 1998; Mu & Carrington, 2007). These strategies involve a series of interconnected actions rather than isolated behaviors (Torrance, Thomas & Robinson, 2000) and are particularly valuable given the challenges many learners face in writing (Raofi, 2014).



Metacognitive writing strategies enable learners to plan, control, and evaluate their writing processes, thereby enhancing confidence and efficiency (Rahmat & Ismael, 2014; Maroof & Murat, 2013). Research indicates that students' writing performance is strongly influenced by the strategies they employ during the writing process (Rahmawati, Fauziati & Marmanto, 2019). Through explicit instruction and guided practice, students can develop awareness of their thinking processes and improve their ability to solve writing-related problems (Flavell, 1979; Lombardi, 2019).

Studies further demonstrate that metacognitive strategies help learners remain focused, organize ideas effectively, and approach writing tasks systematically (Al Moqbali et al., 2020). Such strategies also foster positive attitudes toward writing and encourage learners to become reflective and self-regulated writers (Burksaitiene, 2012; Harris, 2010). Good writers, in particular, have been found to possess strong metacognitive skills (Cho, Cho & Hacker, 2010), reinforcing the importance of metacognitive strategy instruction in L2 writing classrooms.

#### 4. Metacognitive Writing Strategies and L2 Writing Quality

Metacognitive writing strategies are commonly categorized into planning, monitoring, and evaluating (Wenden, 1991; Aripin & Rahmat, 2019; Al Moqbali et al., 2020).

**Planning** involves generating ideas, setting goals, organizing content, and selecting appropriate strategies and resources (Flower & Hayes, 1981; Schraw, 1998). Research indicates that planning positively influences L2 writing performance by providing learners with a clear roadmap for task completion (Uludag, McDonough & Payant, 2021; Rahimpour & Roghayeh, 2011).

**Monitoring** refers to learners' ongoing assessment and regulation of their writing during the composing process (Anderson, 2002). Through self-questioning and reflection, students track their progress, evaluate strategy effectiveness, and make necessary adjustments (Son, Fulonge & Agarwal, 2020). This process promotes critical thinking and continuous improvement (Xiang, 2004).

**Evaluating** involves reviewing written products and assessing goal achievement and strategy effectiveness (Anderson, 2002; Tyfekci & Dujaka, 2017). Evaluative practices, such as rereading and revising, help learners identify gaps and enhance writing quality (Desautel, 2009).

#### 5. Metacognition, Learning Transfer, and Learner Autonomy

Beyond improving writing quality, metacognition plays a vital role in facilitating learning transfer—the application of acquired skills to new contexts (Benander & Lightner, 2005). Metacognitive instruction enhances learners' ability to transfer knowledge across disciplines and real-life situations (Scharff et al., 2017; Sachar, 2020).

Moreover, explicit training in metacognitive strategies promotes learner autonomy by encouraging students to take responsibility for their learning. Through such training, learners set goals, plan tasks, evaluate outcomes, and adjust strategies independently (Dongping, 2015; Zhang & Zhang, 2019). Metacognition thus supports self-regulation and the development of lifelong learners capable of adapting to diverse learning environments (Siegesmund, 2017).

#### 6. Conclusion

Metacognition constitutes a fundamental component of effective L2 writing instruction, influencing writing quality, learning transfer, and learner autonomy. By integrating metacognitive writing strategies—planning, monitoring, and evaluating—educators can empower learners to regulate their writing processes, enhance performance, and develop independence. The literature reviewed in this article underscores the teachability and long-term benefits of metacognitive strategies, highlighting their role in fostering reflective, autonomous, and lifelong learners. Consequently, incorporating metacognitive instruction into writing curricula is not only pedagogically sound but essential for preparing learners to meet academic and real-world challenges successfully.

#### References

1. Abbas, I. S. (2017). Effect of Metacognition concerning: Identifying Planning, Monitoring and Evaluating On Second Language Learning. *Journal of The Iraqi University*, 38(1).
2. Al Moqbali, I. Al Humaidi, S, AlMekhlafi, M.& Abu Hilal, A. (2020). International Journal of Learning Teaching and Educational Research 19(8), 214-232.
3. Alhamdawe, N. & Abbas, I. (2021). Metacognition and Language Learning. *المجلة الدولية للعلوم الإنسانية والاجتماعية*, عدد 26 (أكتوبر), 205-17.
4. Anderson, J. (2002). *The Role of Metacognition in Second Language Teaching and Learning*. Washington, DC: Center for Applied Linguistics, ERIC Clearinghouse on Languages and Linguistics.
5. Aripin, N., & Rahmat, N. H. (2019). Exploring Metacognitive Writing Strategies in the Writing Process using Think aloud Protocol: A Study across Gender. *American Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 4(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.20448/801.41.178.187>
6. Benander, R., & Lightner, R. (2005). Promoting Transfer of Learning: Connecting General Education Courses. *The Journal of General Education*, 54, 199–208. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jge.2006.0001>
7. Branigan, H. E., & Donaldson, D. I. (2019). Learning from learning logs: A case study of metacognition in the primary school classroom. *British Educational Research Journal*, 45(4), 791–820. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3526>
8. Burksaitiene, N. (2012). Peer feedback for fostering students' metacognitive skills of thinking about learning in a course of English for Law. *Societal Studies*, 4(1), 33-46.
9. Cohen, A. D. (1998). *Strategies in learning and using a second language*. Harlow, Essex: Longman.
10. Cubukcu, F. (2009). Metacognition in the classroom. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1, 559–563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2009.01.101>
11. Dongping, G. (2015). *A study on Promoting Non-English Major Students' Autonomous Learning through Metacognitive Strategy Training*. 307–311. <https://doi.org/10.2991/iemb-15.2015.60>
12. Flower, L., & Hayes, J. R. (1981). A Cognitive Process Theory of Writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 32(4), 365–387. <https://doi.org/10.2307/356600>

13. Glaser, R. (1990). The reemergence of learning theory within instructional research. *American Psychologist*, 45(1), 29–39. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.45.1.29>
14. Pintrich (2002); Qassim, A.& Ahmend, Z.(2019). The Effect of Metacognitive Strategies on the writing performance of Iraqi advanced EFL learners. *البصرة اداب مجله*
15. Sachar,C. (2020) in her article “Revising with Metacognition to Promote Writing Achievement: A Case Study”. *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*, Vol. 20, No. 3, December 2020, pp. 49-63. doi: 10.14434/josotl.v20i3.28675
16. Scharff, L and Draeger, J and Verpoorten, D and Devlin, M and Dvorakova, LS and Lodge, JM and Smith, SV. (2017). Exploring Metacognition as a Support for Learning Transfer. *Teaching and Learning Inquiry*, 5 (1).
17. Schraw, G. (1998). Promoting general metacognitive awareness. *Instructional Science* 26, 113–125. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003044231033>
18. Siegesmund, A. (2017). Using self-assessment to develop metacognition and self-regulated learners, *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 364(11), <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnx096>
19. Son, L., Furlonge, N.& Agarwal, P. (2020) "Metacognition: How to Improve Students' Reflections on Learning" *Faculty Works*. <https://remix.berklee.edu/faculty-works/16>
20. Stanton, J., Sebesta, A. & Dunlosky, J. (2021). Fostering Metacognition to Support Student Learning and Performance. 20(2), *CBE Life Sci Educ*.
21. Tosuncuoğlu, İ. & Kırmızı, Ö. (2019). A review on writing metacognitive awareness of Turkish advanced level EFL learners. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching (IOJET)*, 6(1). 136-149. <http://www.iojet.org/index.php/IOJET/article/view/562>
22. Tyfekci, N. & Dujaka, E. (2017). The Effects of Metacognitive Learning Strategy in Writing Enhancement of English Students. *PRIZREN SOCIAL SCIENCE JOURNAL*, 1(1), 47–66.
23. Uludag, P., McDonough, K, & Payant, C. (2021). Does Prewriting Planning Positively Impact English L2 Students' Integrated Writing Performance? *Canadian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 24, 166-185.
24. Veenman, M. V. J., Wilhelm, P., & Beishuizen, J. J. (2004). The relation between intellectual and metacognitive skills from a developmental perspective. *Learning and Instruction*, 14(1), 89–109.
25. Wenden, A. (1991) *Learner Strategies for Learner Autonomy*. Prentice Hall, New York.
26. Wischgoll, A. (2016). Combined Training of One Cognitive and One Metacognitive Strategy Improves Academic Writing Skills. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00187>
27. Zhang, L. J., & Zhang, D. (2019). *Think-aloud protocols The Routledge Handbook of Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*, 302-312. Publisher: Routledge, London. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367824471-26>